FAQ Supported by ACINQ. Q

4,541 30,681 NODES CHANNE

# On Search Friction of Route Discove in Off-chain Networks

Saar Tochner The Hebrew University saart@cs.huji.ac.il

Stefan Schmid University of Vienna stefan\_schmid@univie.ac.at

	Lightining ower osers.com	
	rompert.com 🔵	
	1ML.com node ALPHA	
	In1.satoshilabs.com	732 channel(s)
	ACINQ	
	BitMEXResearch	642 channel(s)
	🖋 🏶 BOLTENING.club	
	LightningTo.Me	
	LNBIG.com [Ind-01]	
	CoinGate	526 channel(s)
,		482 channel(s)
	OpenHote.com	463 channel(s)
	tippin.me	
	LNBIG.com [Ind-02]	
	LNBIG.com [Ind-03]	
	BCash_Is_Trash	
	LNBIG.com [Ind-17]	387 channel(s)
	In.BitSoapBox.com	
	LNBIG.com [Ind-21]	373 channel(s)
	LNBIG.com [Ind-28/old-Ind-22]	370 channel(s)
	LNBIG.com [ind-26]	
	LNBIG.com [ind-33]	362 channel(s)
	LNBIG.com [Ind-06]	362 channel(s)
	LNBIG.com [Ind-32]	360 channel(s)
	btc.Inetwork.tokyo	
	Casa Store	350 channel(s)





# Agenda

- The Lightning network
- The problem of scale
- Route Discovery Algorithm (RDA)
- Confidentially-Efficiency-Effectiveness
- Results





# The Lightning Network

- Solution to Bitcoin's scalability issue
- Channel locked liquidity between two parties
- Route list of channels from source to target
- Transaction atomic swap of liquidities across route
- Channel's fee the cost that the owner demands to use it in a transaction
- Routing algorithm choose the best route



# The Problem of Scale

- How to choose a route?
  - High number of channels
  - Frequent changes
  - Mobile users
- Trampoline nodes
  - Selfishness

# Definitions

- <u>**q-RDA</u></u> route discovery algorithm that performs at most q queries to trampoline nodes and returns the best-found route or nothing</u>**
- <u>Efficiency</u>  $-\frac{Weight of R}{Minimal weight of s \rightarrow t}$  for a route R from s to t
- <u>Effectiveness</u> the number of queries which have to be issued to successfully execute a given transaction
- <u>Confidentiality</u> (Leak Rate) how many more nodes will learn about the existence of this transaction compared to source routing

### Analytical Results

• There is no perfect RDA:

For every RDA there exists a topology in which the RDA is as bad as we want in Efficiency, Effectiveness and Confidentiality

- Example with low efficiency: If there are q+1 direct neighbors, then any q-RDA struggles to find an efficient route
- Examples with better measurements: Cliques, Scale-free networks



# **Empirical Evaluations**

- Topologies:
  - The Lightning network (March. 24<sup>th</sup> 2020)
  - Sparse topology nodes in a circle, with 2 outgoing links: to the next node and to a random node
- Transactions Distributions:
  - Every pair performs a single transaction (uniform)
  - Power-law "activity level"

#### Efficiency-Confidentiality Tradeoff



### Effectiveness-Confidentiality Tradeoff



#### Effectiveness-Efficiency Tradeoff



### Take-aways



Trampoline nodes





#### **Selfish Incentives**



#### Questions?

Saar Tochner- saart@cs.huji.ac.il Stefan Schmid - stefan\_schmid@univie.ac.at

https://medium.com/blockchains-huji/routing-with-selfishinformation-sharing-6ade69235531