## NETWORK SOFTWARIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

# **Resource Management for** Programmable Metasurfaces: Concept, Prospects and Challenges

Christos Liaskos, Kostas Katsalis, Joan Triay, and Stefan Schmid

The authors treat the problem of unifying existing resource management systems with PMs as communication resources. They propose the virtualization of PMs (VPMs), yielding their representation as a cloud resource which can interfaced with existing resource-slicing multi-tenancy systems.

## ABSTRACT

Sixth generation (6G) communications are expected to enable to the fusion of the digital world with the physical world, possible unprecedented requirements on the timely and efficient management of communication and computing resources. Programmable metasurfaces (PMs) are a key 6G enabler, which allow programmatic control over the propagation of wireless waves in a space. In this article we treat the problem of unifying existing resource management systems with PMs as communication resources. Specifically, we propose the virtualization of PMs (VPMs), yielding their representation as a cloud resource which can interfaced with existing resource-slicing multi-tenancy systems. We analyze how VPMs enable the dynamic deployment of end-to-end services toward clients in heterogeneous networks, promoting the isolation of performance concerns among different performance objectives. Use cases and open challenges and open questions are highlighted.

#### INTRODUCTION

While a certain level of maturity has been achieved regarding the deployment of 5G networks, both academia and the industry are trying to articulate the key requirements and supporting technologies which will be used to define and build the overall next-generation (6G) ecosystem. 6G is expected to span a wide set of demanding technologies, such as next generation MIMO, integrated sensing, distributed federated AI, and flexible programmable infrastructures. Thus, 6G raises the need for the efficient and unified representation and management of the diverse communication resources [1].

A particularly interesting wireless resource for 6G are programmable metasurfaces (PMs). A programmable metasurface (PM) can be understood as a tile constructed using metamaterials that support manipulation of impinging electromagnetic waves. Based on the tile configuration specific actions can be performed like beamforming, signal absorption and polarization control [2]. An extensive use of PMs for both indoor but also outdoor scenarios is expected to yield increased channel capacity and throughput, coupled with advanced physical-layer isolation (i.e., security) [3].

Notably, the abstraction of the physics behind PMs and the interfacing with computing devices has been identified as an important problem early on [2]. Moreover, articulating this abstraction via Software Defined Networking (SDN) has also been investigated [3], porting the core SDN principle, that is, making the high-level management logic of a network independent of the underlying hardware, to the PM case.

Nevertheless, there exists a wide technical gap to be bridged between these initial studies and the needs of in the state of the art. The related work so far is not tackling the problem of how programmable metasurfaces can be represented as abstracted and virtualized resources for the needs of modern communication systems, and, subsequently, no means are available to perform network slicing on top of metasurfaces from a telecom network orchestration and management perspective. Resource virtualization is about creating an abstraction layer over hardware resources using software [4]. This abstraction layer is used to facilitate the operation of softwarized resource management, isolating user groups into virtual systems that resemble the physical ones. For example, in the case of computing a Virtual machine (VM) is widely used for providing the same functionalities as a physical computer, whilst fully implemented in software. Many such VMs can share the same physical computer, without the users perceiving a difference. This sharing is generally known as network slicing, that is, creating sets of isolated services, functions, and resources (physical or virtual) tailored to specific user groups and their respective needs, while efficiently sharing the same physical infrastructure [4].

( )

In this article, we introduce the concept of resource virtualization and management for PMs. Particularly, we propose the novel concept of Virtual Programmable Metasurfaces (VPMs) and we elaborate on: i) how they can be perceived as a new type of virtualization-compatible cloud resource, and ii) be integrated to modern resource management systems, such as ETSI NFV-MANO and O-RAN [5]. toward these ends, A PM Hypervisor (PMH) entity is introduced to abstract the underlying physical PMs and support the relevant lifecycle management operations for the VPMs. Exploiting best practices from SDN and network slicing, we describe possible interactions

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/MCOM.002.2300012

Christos Liaskos is with University of Ioannina and FORTH, Greece; Kostas Katsalis and Joan Triay are with DOCOMO Communications Laboratory GmbH, Germany; Stefan Schmid is with TU Berlin and Fraunhofer SIT, Germany. between the PMH and network slice management and orchestration systems for the mobile network. We also illustrate how new functionality can be incorporated inside the network slicing management systems, when considering PMS and VPMs.

The proposed approach is compatible with any PMs technology [6], and to both indoor and outdoor deployments. Novel use cases exploiting the VPM concept toward 6G communications, like VPM migration and dynamic life-cycle management are presented, and several challenges and open questions are discussed.

## BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

#### PROGRAMMABLE METASURFACES

Programmable Metasurfaces (PMs) are artificial materials with engineered and real-time tunable electromagnetic properties [2]. They provide tunable interaction with impinging waves that can be, for example, steered, re-polarized and focused via software. PMs are the building blocks of the recently proposed Programmable Wireless Environments (PWEs) [7] and Smart Radio Environments (SREs) [8], which have similarities but generally target difference deployment scale. However, the terms are typically used interchangeably in the literature.

PWEs comprise large sets of PMs and constitute a generic system for controlling any type of PM, in order to apply deterministic control over the wireless propagation process [7]. PWEs seek to provide a full protocol stack, clarifying the physical, network, control and application layers of the system, and clarify its integration to the existing networking infrastructure via the SDN paradigm. PWEs focus on providing the necessary software abstractions for transforming the PWE real-time operation in an algorithmic problem on graph representations, while abstracting the underlying physics with event-driven software callbacks. Moreover, PWEs define the system workflow from the protocol perspective, from the discovery of a PWE by a user device, to the statement of objectives and to its service, providing algorithms to configure any set of PMs for any multi-user setting [3].

PWE as a generic control system of any PM technology (e.g., [6]). Its focus is to provide the facilities for crafting electromagnetic vector field distributions in a space, encompassing derivative reductions, such as affecting the scalar power levels at a device. To this end, PWEs treat PMs in their most generic way of operation, that is, converters of surface current distributions. Impinging waves create a surface distribution "A" upon a PM, and embedded control elements convert it to a state "B" that yields the required electromagnetic field as a global response. Importantly, multi-tasking a PM can occur by: dividing its surface into sub-areas, each with different functionality (e.g., steer, absorb), and duty-cycling the PM. However, precise benchmarking must accompany each approach, as complex interactions among the PM constituent elements will have an impact on the efficiency of each subtask [9, 10].

In general, PWEs assume a three-stage workflow, occurring during the PM manufacturing, connecting the physical properties of a PM to its macroscopic electromagnetic behavior, such as STEER(), ABSORB(), and ALTER\_POLARIZA-**TION()**, enabling their virtualization [3]. Firstly, a measurement-driven calibration process takes place, matching the electrical states of embedded control elements to user-defined physical model of the PM behavior. For instance, the voltages fed to a varactor, varistor, PIN diode, and so on, integrated to PM element can be matched to a phase-shift incurred by it to an impinging wave. The second step is to deduce the optimal physical model configurations (e.g., sets of PM element phase shifts) that match a required macroscopic PM behavior. The optimization comprises an initial solution, for example, deduced by the reflectarray model, and any heuristic hill-climbing algorithm, which can operate over simulations or automated measurements. The optimization step is repeated for the set of behaviors and parameters (e.g., angles of arrival/departure, degree of absorption) which the manufacturer will announce as supported by the specific PM model. Thirdly, a model of combining multiple behaviors is defined, enabling the shared use of the PM. In this case the initial solution is produced by interleaving single configurations on a per-element basis. Finally, a codebook matching behaviors-to-optimal-configurations is produced which is software callback-invoked by the PM during its operation.

SREs constitute a concept that focuses on the signal processing aspects of wireless communications, and especially in conjunction with machine learning techniques. The channel control type is stochastic (SREs typically assume very few PMs, sparsely deployed within a space, and in the far field in general) and the employed PM technology is specific to reflectarrays, which are commonly denoted as intelligent reflective surfaces (LIS, IRS or RIS). Based on these premises, the goal is to iteratively optimize the reflectarray phase shifter states (free variables) to maximize a scalar quantity representing a wireless communication objective (fitness function). Additionally, given the théoretical signal processing focus of SREs, the required protocols, system workflows and integration-to-infrastructure processes are commonly left undefined in the literature, that is, inherently assuming that an underlying PWE system stack or similar is in place. In a layered sense, PWE is a top-to-bottom systemic approach, while the SRE is a layer-specific approach (channel modeling with reflectarrays). In the following, we consider that PMs encompass any technology, that is, covering both metasurfaces and reflectarrays.

Recently a newly formed Industry Specification Group (ISG) on Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces (RIS) has been established to investigate the relevant use cases and requirements as well as the design of an end-to-end architecture considering RIS elements [11].

Table 1 summarizes the terminology used in this work and the key technical dimensions to consider regarding programmable metasurfaces.

#### NETWORK SLICING

The 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) specifies the relevant entities and functionalities used to enable network slicing on the telecom operator network [12]. 3GPP also defined several management entities regarding the management of Network Slice Instances (NSIs). The initial stud-

SREs constitute a concept that focuses on the signal processing aspects of wireless communications, and especially in conjunction with machine learning techniques. The channel control type is stochastic (SREs typically assume very few PMs, sparsely deployed within a space, and in the far field in general) and the employed PM technology is specific to reflectarrays, which are commonly denoted as intelligent reflective surfaces (LIS, IRS or RIS).

۲

M.4	
Mietasurtaces	
Charact.	Description
Operating Principle	• RIS, LIS, SM, SMM: $\lambda/2-\lambda/4$ antenna arrays and reflectarrays • SDM, HSF: Metasurfaces, programmable surface current, meta-gratings
Taxonomy	<ul> <li>Non-wavefront amplifying/passive vs Wavefront-amplifying</li> <li>Near-field/vector field-crafting vs Reflection pattern-crafting</li> <li>Autonomic vs externally controlled</li> <li>Self-powered (energy harvesting) vs externally-powered</li> <li>Ultra-thin/transparent vs electromagnetically-thin/opaque</li> </ul>
Wave manipulation types	Custom redirection (reflection, diffraction, toward single or multiple directions, i.e., splitting and scattering), power alteration (amplify, partially attenuate, fully absorb), polarization modification, phase modification, frequency filtering, collimation, departing wavefront crafting, re-modulation
Sharing approaches per unit	Spatial separation per wave manipulation type, wave mani-pulation type interleaving, time-varying manipulation types (faster or slower than the wavelength of the manipulated impinging wave)
Operating frequency and bandwidth	1–20GHz is well-studied, mmWave is under practical research focus and extensive prototyping, THz is under sustained exploration by employing Graphene as the enabling material. Bandwidth: both narrow-band and wider-band designs exist, trading manipulation efficiency for wider operating bandwidth
Programmability and control	<ul> <li>Hypervisor for hosting wave manipulation types (SDMs), enforced by electronic control elements embedded in the surface.</li> <li>Simplest approach: plain PIN diodes directly controlled by an embedded IoT gateway</li> <li>most advanced approach: embedded ASICs within the metasurface cells, with intra-communication capabilities for synergetic operation and robust control, connected to the external world via an embedded IoT gateway)</li> </ul>
Terminology	
Network Management	Software Defined Networking (SDN), Network Function Virtualization (NFV), Network Service NS), Physical Network Function (PNF), Virtual Network Function (VNF), Network Slice Management Function (NSMF)
Meta-surfaces	Reflective Intelligent Surfaces (RIS), Large Intelligent Surfaces (LIS), Software-Defined Metasurfaces (SDMs), HyperSurfaces (HSF), Smart Mirrors (SM), Spatial Microwave Modulator (SMM), Virtual programmable metasurface (VPM)

TABLE 1. Key aspects of metasurfaces and terminology.

ies about network slice life-cycle management aspects were progressed in the normative phases of several 3GPP specifications, for example describing the requirements for the transition to a service-based slice management architecture. Recent research activities are about extending network slicing management with AI technologies to support intelligent network management [13].

The authors in [3] investigate the case of sharing PMs resources using SDN logic to multiple tenants. While the significance of software orchestration and resource management has been acknowledged, no work has gone beyond simple interfaces to directly control the state of the embedded elements.

In our approach similarly, to the case of VMs, VPMs can operate on top of programmable metasurfaces and can also be part of Network Slice instances. While a static model of interacting with a PM through a gateway system (although is expected to be the starting point of integrating the technology inside the mobile network) will be very difficult to be managed and maintained due to lack of flexibility. With the introduction of a virtualization layer on top of the PM, best practices from cloud computing, SDN/NFV and RAN virtualization can be exploited, not only for improving resource utilization but also creating a new market, with new stakeholders and new vendors offering fascinating services on top of programmable metasurfaces.

## VIRTUAL PROGRAMMABLE METASURFACES

## THE CONCEPT OF VIRTUAL PROGRAMMABLE METASURFACES

A Virtual Programmable Metasurface (VPM) is a logical and virtual environment implemented in software providing the same functionality as a PM to the metasurface control and management entities. While the end user (e.g., mobile phone) in the data plane is receiving signals from the PM, in the management and control plane, VPMs are software entities representing a specific set of PM resources which can be managed and controlled on per VPM basis.

In our approach, VPMs are created and managed by a PM hypervisor function (PMH). A PMH resembles the properties of OS and network hypervisors [14], or other physical layer sharing mechanisms as a new type of resource sharing and resource management mechanism. Physical resources in a PMs can span multiple domains: spatial domain (parts of the tile), frequency domain (specific frequencies which can be allocated to a tenant/slice), phase domain, time domain, and so on. The PMH system is used to create an abstraction layer on top of these physical resources and enable resource sharing between multiple VPMs. The PMH functionality can be exploited in sharing scenarios when considering multi-tenancy, without necessarily restricting it to 3GPP-based network slicing [12].

A visual representation of an envisioned 6G mobile network services when considering VPMs is depicted in Fig. 1. Besides the 5G/6G Radio Access Network (RAN) and Core network functions, additional network functions are considered deployed on top of VPMs. In the light of network slicing, this new type of network services leveraging PMs or VPMs can be used to realize part of one or more network slice instances. For example, Network Service #1 may be part of ANI#1 and Network Service #2 can be part of another NSI.

### THE PM HYPERVISOR SYSTEM

From an architectural perspective the PM Hypervisor (PMH) provides a set of core functionalities, while it also exposes a northbound API for the management of both PMs and VPMs and a southbound interface for the actual management and configuration of the multi-technology PMs. A visual representation of the PMH is provided in Fig. 2.

PMH Core: it comprises the functions of PM virtualization and supports VPMs lifecycle management operations (create, delete, scale, migrate, etc.). PMs can be virtualized considering multiple forms of multiplexing, such as in space and time, or in space and frequency domain, and so on. As a VPM operates by using PM resources, the main responsibility of the PMH core is to perform resource mapping and resource scheduling (i.e., which resources are allocated to the VPM) to support VPMs multiplexing. PMH Core is responsible for preserving VPMs isolation (guarantees that there are no conflicts due to multiplexing). Highly complex operations are expected inside the PMH core to support such operations. PMH Core correlates data and statistics received from the PMs tile with VPMs. It is also responsible for logging information, notifications sending, monitoring, policy management, security, power management, and so on. for both PMs and VPMs. Additional functionality like VPM snapshotting, high availability, and so on, can also be considered.

Northbound Interface: it is used to expose functionality related to PMs and VPMs management. For example, the interface exposes inventory services of the PM and VPM resources to the northbound. It also provides PMs capabilities exposure and capacity management, as well as management related capabilities to support service requests for VPM lifecycle related operations. It can also expose filtered performance/ fault management data for both the VPMs and the PMs. The northbound API can used by dedicated PMs management systems (e.g., acting as RAN domain controllers). This interface could be realized in the form of some standard RESTful-based API or SDK. Instead of managing the PM directly, using the PMH the management system is managing the VPM. Using this northbound interface, a management system can interact with the VPM like a management system can manage a PM using the "legacy" approach with gateway system.

**Communications in the Southbound:** in the southbound a set of interfaces are used for the actual PMs configuration and management. The PMH system collects and analyzes performance and fault management data from the PMs. This interface could be realized in the form of plugins, drivers, and so on.



FIGURE 1. End-to-end example with two network services sharing a common set of physical infrastructures (including a PM) in order to connect two wireless users belonging to different tenants to a cloud service, in an isolated manner.



FIGURE 2. Architectures of the PM hypervisor (PMH).

 $( \blacklozenge$ 

Finally, it is worth mentioning that the SDNbased solution presented in [3] for the control of metasurfaces could operate on top of the PMH, wherein the PMH partially implements the functionalities provided in the southbound.

## NETWORK SLICING AND MANAGEMENT OF VIRTUAL PROGRAMMABLE METASURFACES

## SLICING IN 3GPP AND ETSI NFV

According to 3GPP, the RAN Network Slice Subnet Management Function (NSSMF) handles the slicing management for RAN network functions (NFs) [12]. TN-NSSMF is about slicing management when considering Transport Network elements, and CN-NSSMF handles the slicing management for Core Network (CN) NFs. Network Slice Management Function (NSMF) is used for the end-to-end management and orchestration of the NSIs. PNFs and VNFs together with the underlying physical resources are used to compose NSs which can be mapped to one or more NSIs.

Following the same principles of operation like in the case of compute node virtualization, the PMH is slice-unaware but the VPMs can be part of an NS which can be mapped to one or more NSIs. Under network slicing an NS can utilize multiple associated VPMs, with the corresponding ( )

Authorized licensed use limited to: Technische Universitaet Berlin. Downloaded on November 27,2024 at 09:10:09 UTC from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.

۲



FIGURE 3. New PHY\_ENV NSSMF managing metasurfaces and other physical environment resources and functions.

VNFs wired to the rest of the PNFs and VNFs. Each VPM may be built using a different physical layer characteristic. Sharing VPMs is also possible between different NSIs.

#### RETHINKING THE NETWORK SLICING MANAGEMENT PLANE

Although metasurfaces can in principle be seen and understood as a pure radio resource, the management of physical environment type of resources has not been yet considered by RAN-NSSMF. New functionality inside the telecom operator's Network Slice management systems is necessary to support the LCM of NSIs comprising VPMs hosted by and operating on top of shared PMs. For example, to associate/ de-associate VPMs and the corresponding VNFs with a NSI and a NS. Two possible options, which advance the available network slice management plane to support this new type of functionality, can be considered.

**Option 1 (New Management Entity for Physical Environment Related Resources/Functions):** The Solution Defines a New NSSMF (named PHY\_ENV-NSSMF) which is used to manage physical environment related aspects of the NSI. PHY\_ENV-NSSMF can manage any type of environment related resources and can be used to support several additional use cases [7]. The PHY\_ENV-NSSMF enables the capability to use different environment resources to support multiple NSIs (e.g., one NSI is optimized for sensing, one NSI is optimized for beamforming optimization, etc.). See Fig. 3 for a visual representation of the proposed management solution.

**Option 2 (Extending the Scope of RAN-NSSMF):** The scope of RAN-NSSMF is extended to also cover the management of NSIs aspects associated to physical or virtual programmable metasurfaces.

In both cases the overall management of the VPMs can be made using the PMH while the actual configuration and management of the VPMs/ PMs is made through the corresponding domain controllers interacting with the PMH. When considering NFV technologies, similarly to VM based or container-based environments, the PMs/VPMs resources as well as the relevant VNFs/PNFs are managed by an orchestrator (e.g., ETSI NFV-MA-NO NFVO [5]). In that aspect, [15] provides a detailed analysis of possible architectural mapping options between ETSI NFV and the O-RAN architectural frameworks. Note that the concept of VPMs can be exploited in the general case, without considering necessarily network slicing. For example, multiple tenants can interact with the PMH directly or through another management system to use a set of VPMs.

In Fig. 4 on the left-hand side 3GPP network slicing management entities together with ETSI NFV's NFV-MANO are depicted. In the middle 3GPP network slicing together with ETSI NFV's NFV-MANO and O-RAN SMO are depicted. In the latter design PMs and VPMs can be also considered as part of the O-Cloud as an additional type of virtualized resources. On the right-hand side VPMs created and controlled by the PMH are managed by an external management system (like for example a Smart Building management system).

## USE-CASES AND NEW CLOUD OPERATIONS

Embracing VPMs as a new type of virtualized cloud resource can be a driver for substantial changes on the way telecom networks are designed and operated. Greater levels of flexibility and novel use cases can be envisioned by exploiting VPMs supported by new operations such as VMP migration and scaling. Note that VPMs concept is not tailored only to a specific type of access network and is equally applicable to 3GPP and non-3GPP access types [12].

#### Examples of New Cloud Operations

**VPM Migration:** During design time and instantiation a VPM can be mapped to a set of PM resources. During operation, and to maximize the multiplexing gains for a number of co-operated NSIs, VPMs migration is one additional control actions to consider, depending on the policies in effect. The VPM object status (operational and configuration data) needs to be preserved even after instantiating on top of another PM. In Fig. 5 a VPM is migrated from one PMH to another PMH. The appropriate resource allocation on the target PM is performed together with the necessary signal steering on the RAN part to point to the appropriate PM.

( )

**VPM Scaling:** As in the case of VMs and the hosted VNFs, when it comes to increased load conditions, it is legitimate to assume that dynamic scaling operations are also possible for VPMs. Scaling can be related to more than one dimension. For example, to scale out a VPM we may adjust at the same time the frequency spectrum in the frequency domain and allocate a wider area on the tile to the VPMs in the spatial domain.

#### USE-CASES RELATED TO VPMS

As surveyed in [7] several deployment scenarios and 6G use cases can be envisioned for indoor and outdoor environments, public or private networks, (e.g., industrial networks, smart homes, smart hospitals, etc.). Some indicative use cases, when considering the use of VPMs are the following.

**Ultra-Low-Latency Wireless Communications:** Since VPMs can perform resource slicing over services offered by underlying systems such as PWEs and SREs, they can keep the wireless waves concentrated within air-routes, thus: extending their range, and avoiding interference and eavesdropping at the physical layer. As such, VPMs can in principle replace via resource scheduling:the medium access and network layer mechanisms, andeven some cryptographic services of the application layer. With the proposed scheme, in the light of network slicing, a slice tenant may request VPM resources with specific ultra-low-latency requirements, while another tenant may request VPM resources to support extended wireless coverage.

**3GPP and Non-3GPP Access:** In a single area both 3GPP and non-3GPP access (e.g., WiFi) types can be used to support end-user connectivity. 3GPP and non-3GPP access convergence has already been achieved (see for example [12], case 1). In such an environment, PMs can manipulate the electromamagnetic waves for both types of networks. Therefore, when virtualizing the PM, it would be perfectly feasible to assume a set of VPMs to be managed by the non-3GPP system (e.g., WiFi) and another set by the 3GPP control and management systems [12].

VPMs and Virtualized RAN: O-RAN standards are about softwarizing RAN based on two main pillars: running the RAN protocol stack in software and exploiting the microservices concept by disaggregating the RAN into more granular network functions. O-RAN specifications are aligned with 3GPP work, but also go beyond by developing new functionalities like AI-based RAN Intelligent Controller (RIC) and the Service Management and Orchestration (SMO) [5]. In an O-RAN environment, the overall control and management of VPMs migration (Fig. 5) could be facilitated using the near-Real Time (RT) RIC, which is able to interact with all the RAN functions virtualized or not. Depending on operational data like system load, user mobility, and interference management policies in effect, sophisticated decision making could also consider the appropriate VPMs resource migration.

Advanced Access Security: Depending on the technology in effect, one of the capabilities of a PM is to completely absorb the electromagnetic waves. With the proper security control mechanisms, communications can be dynamically tuned to enable security from the application layer up to the VPM/PM level.

## CHALLENGES AND OPEN QUESTIONS

In principle the physical layer characteristics of the PMS technology are not impacted by the proposed scheme and the introduction of PMH. Nevertheless, specialized configuration may have an impact on the performance expected by each tenant/user of the virtual PMSs and optimal configuration is required to maximize the multiplexing gains. In the following we describe key issues related to the use of VPMs.

Complicated Interactions due to the operation of multiple VPMs are expected. Compared to classic resource virtualization architectures, VPMs pose fairly different algorithmic and optimization challenges, accounting for geometric constraints and supporting more dynamic reconfigurations.

Sophisticated Algorithms are required for the efficient reconfiguration of metasurfaces, for example, due to policy changes, or the mobility of users or even the metasurfaces themselves (e.g., when mounted on drones).

An Optimal Resource Utilization requires algorithms for an accurate demand prediction (e.g., using sampling and AI) as well as for striking a



FIGURE 4. Orchestration and management of VPMs.

(



FIGURE 5. VPM migration in an 5G/6G operational environment.

good tradeoff between, for example, providing isolation between tenants and making efficient use of opportunistically available channel capacity. In general, the algorithms should typically be online. Efficient resource usage further requires algorithms for tight synchronization between transmitting devices, tiles and receivers.

The Usage of PM Elements of a VPM user can impact the usage of resources for other VPM users in highly complicated manners. Especially when operating in nontrivial radio environments (i.e., not in free space) with scattering objects, there will be complex reverberation-induced long-range coupling between different "slices" of the PMS [7].

VPM Network Embedding: to maximize the multiplexing gains sophisticated network embedding and admission control algorithms need to be devised when considering the operation of VPMs. The applicability of existing or the design of new efficient scheduling algorithms will precipitate the adoption of the concept toward 6G.

#### CONTROL AND MANAGEMENT ASPECTS

Management Domain Demarcation Points: considering PMs and VPMs as cloud resources creates plausible questions regarding the management domain boundaries. For example, how to coordinate legacy RAN elements management

Authorized licensed use limited to: Technische Universitaet Berlin. Downloaded on November 27,2024 at 09:10:09 UTC from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.

Complicated Interactions due to the operation of multiple VPMs are expected. Compared to classic resource virtualization architectures, VPMs pose fairly different algorithmic and optimization challenges, accounting for geometric constraints and supporting more dynamic reconfigurations with the life-cycle management (e.g., deployment, instantiation, operation, termination) of this new type of virtualized resources is an open issue.

**VPMs Performance:** Performance analysis when sharing PM resources between multiple tenants in the light of network slicing has not been performed and depends on the type of the PM resource which is virtualized and shared.

**VPM Descriptors:** Following ETSI NFV methodologies, VPMs could be defined in a resource descriptor and thus be also considered as a cloud resource. How a VPM can be described so it can be onboarded to a cloud system and how full management can be supported needs further investigation.

**VPM VNFs:** How can related VNFs be built and operated? Can VPM VNFs be provided by third-party vendors other than the PM ones?

**Coordination with Radio Transmission:** How to achieve coordination with the radio transmitting part from the RAN, when considering a virtualized metasurfaces environment?

Other open issues are related to end-to-end network services, network slices and security management when considering the overall RAN part including wireless and wired connections. Network service health monitoring mechanisms, like also administration and management (OAM) for PMs and VPMs, are open issues which may unleash the potential for extensive research on the field. Best practices from cloud computing and mobile network management are expected to be considered to address issues related to the management of large-scale massive PMS and VPMs deployments.

## CONCLUSION

In this work we presented the concept of virtual programmable metasurfaces in the light of network slicing. We elaborated on how virtual programmable metasurfaces can be turned into a new kind of cloud resource. We also described how virtual programmable metasurfaces can be managed uniformly from the network operator as part of end-to-end telecom network services on a multi-technology operational environment (e.g., 3GPP-based and non-3GPP access).

As the PM technology still evolves, the concept of exposing and managing virtual programmable metasurfaces as a new type of cloud resource, can be exploited by third parties for the design of solutions tailored to novel 6G use cases.

#### References

[1] T. Nakamura, "5G Evolution and 6G," Proc. IEEE Symposium on VLSI Technology, 2020.

- [2] T. J. Cui et al., "Coding Metamaterials, Digital Metamaterials and Programmable Metamaterials," *Light: Science & Applications*, vol. 3, no. 10, 2014, p. e218.
- [3] C. Liaskos, Ed., The Internet of Materials, CRC Press, ISBN 978-0367457389, 12 2020.
- [4] The NGMN Alliance, "Description of Network Slicing Concept," NGMN 5G P, vol. 1, no. 1, 2016, pp. 1–11.
- [5] M. Polese et al., "Understanding O-RAN: Architecture, Interfaces, Algorithms, Security, and Research Challenges," *IEEE Commun. Surveys & Tutorials*, 2023.
   [6] O. Ma et al., "Smart Metasurface with Self-Adaptively Repro-
- 6] Q. Ma et al., "Smart Metasurface with Self-Adaptively Reprogrammable Functions," *Light: Science & Applications*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2019, p. 98.
- [7] C. Liaskos et al., "Software-Defined Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces: From Theory to End-to-end Implementation," Proc. IEEE, vol. 110, no. 9, 2022, pp. 1466–93.
- [8] M. Di Renzo et al., "Smart Radio Environments Empowered by Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces," *IEEE JSAC*, vol. 38, no. 11, 2020, pp. 2450–2525.
  [9] A. Rabault et al., "On the Tacit Linearity Assumption in Com-
- [9] A. Rabault et al., "On the Tacit Linearity Assumption in Common Cascaded Models of RIS-Parametrized Wireless Channels," arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.04993, 2023.
- [10] C. Saigre-Tardif and P. del Hougne, "Self-Adaptive RISs Beyond Free Space: Convergence of Localization, Sensing, and Communication Under Rich-Scattering Conditions," *IEEE Wireless Commun.*, vol. 30, no. 1, 2023, pp. 24–30.
- [11] DGR/RIS-003, "Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces (RIS); Communication Models, Channel Models, and Evaluation Methodology," v1.0.0, 2023.
  [12] The 3GPP Global Initiative, "Specifications by Series:
- [12] The 3GPP Global Initiative, "Specifications by Series: 3GPP," https://www.3gpp.org/specifications-technologies/ specifications-by-series, accessed on 06/14/2023.
- [13] W. Wu et al., "Al-Native Network Slicing for 6G Networks," IEEE Wireless Commun., vol. 29, no. 1, 2022, pp. 96–103.
- [14] A. Blenk et al., "Survey on Network Virtualization Hypervisors for Software Defined Networking," IEEE Commun. Surveys & Tutorials, vol. 18, no. 1, 2015, pp. 655–85.
- [15] ETSI GR NFV-IFA 046, "Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) Release 5; Architectural Framework," Report on NFV support for virtualization of RAN v 0.10.0, 2023.

#### BIOGRAPHIES

KOSTAS KATSALIS received the Diploma degree in Electrical and Computer Engineering from University of Patras, Greece in 2005, and a PhD degree in computer networking from the Department of ECE, University of Thessaly. He is a senior architect and standardization specialist in the field of SDN/NFV and he is with NTT Docomo (Eurolabs), Germany.

( )

JOAN TRIAY received his B.Eng., M.Eng. and Ph.D. degree in telematics engineering from the Universitat Politcnica de Catalunya (UPC, BarcelonaTech), Barcelona, Spain, in 2006, 2007 and 2011, respectively. Currently, he is a network architect and manager at DOCOMO Euro-Labs, in Munich, Germany.

CHRISTOS LIASKOS received the Diploma degree and the Ph.D. degree in computer networking from the from the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (AUTH), Greece. He is currently an Assistant Professor with the University of Ioannina and an Affiliated Researcher with the Foundation for Research and Technology Hellas (FORTH), Greece, working on 6G technologies.

STEFAN SCHMID received the M.Sc. degree in computer science from ETH Zurich, Switzerland, in 2004, and the Ph.D. degree in distributed computing from ETH Zurich, in 2018. He is currently a Full Professor with TU Berlin, Germany, and an Affiliated Researcher with Fraunhofer SIT, Germany, working on distributed systems, networks, and algorithms.