### Reclaiming the Brain: Useful OpenFlow Functions in the Data Plane

### Liron Schiff (Tel Aviv Uni, Israel) Michael Borokhovich (UT Austin, United States) Stefan Schmid (TU Berlin & T-Labs, Germany)





- \* Separation of the planes enables:
  - simplified network management and operation
  - faster innovation







- \* Separation of the planes enables:
  - simplified network management and operation
  - faster innovation
- **\* However:** 
  - controller may miss certain data plane events
  - \* indirection => latency



- \* Separation of the planes enables:
  - simplified network management and operation
  - faster innovation
- **\* However:** 
  - controller may miss certain data plane events
  - \* indirection => latency
- What functionality should be kept in data plane? — A big question!



- Separation of the planes enables:
  - simplified network management and operation
  - faster innovation
- **\* However:** 
  - controller may miss certain data plane events
  - \* indirection => latency



\* What functionality should be kept in data plane? — A big question!

In this talk: example of functions that can be kept in the data plane.



### SDN and OpenFlow



### OpenFlow in a Nutshell

\* Switch pipeline



- Basic Actions
  - \* Set a field
  - \* Append a label
  - Forward to a port/controller/flood
  - \* Goto Table x

### OpenFlow in a Nutshell

\* Switch pipeline



- Basic Actions
  - Set a field
  - \* Append a label
  - Forward to a port/controller/flood
  - Goto Table x

- Advanced/optional Actions
  - Link state based
  - Round-robin selection



### **OpenFlow** in a Nutshell

\* Switch pipeline



- Basic Actions
  - \* Set a field
  - \* Append a label
  - Forward to a port/controller/flood
  - \* Goto Table x



- Link state based
- Round-robin selection



Proactive reaction to link failures \* Failover Group Fwd Port 1 ctrl plane Fwd Port 3 . . . . . . Action-k Port-k 3 data plane

Ν

S

Proactive reaction to link failures \* Failover Group Fwd Port 1 ctrl plane Fwd Port 3 . . . . . . Action-k Port-k 3 data plane

Ν

S

Proactive reaction to link failures

	Failover Group			
×	Fwd	Port <b>1</b>		
<b>~</b>	Fwd	Port <b>3</b>		
	Action-k	Port-k		



- Non-trivial to use
  - May quickly introduce loops
  - May introduce high load
- Much better with Tags

Proactive reaction to link failures \*

	Failover Group			
×	Fwd	Port <b>1</b>		
<b>~</b>	Fwd	Port <b>3</b>		
	Action-k	Port-k		



Ν

- Non-trivial to use \*
  - May quickly introduce loops \*
  - May introduce high load \*
- Much better with Tags \*



Tag

Proactive reaction to link failures

	Failover Group				
×	Fwd	Port <b>1</b>			
<b>~</b>	Fwd	Port <b>3</b>			
	Action-k	Port-k			



- Non-trivial to use
  - May quickly introduce loops
  - May introduce high load
- Much better with Tags

### Functions in the South

- Reduce interactions with the control plane
- Make data plane more robust
- Monitoring functions:
  - Topology snapshot
  - Blackhole detection
  - \* Critical node detection
- Communication functions:
  - \* Anycast



- SmartSouth in-band graph DFS traversal
- State of each node stored in the packet:
  - parent
  - current neighbor the node traverses
- Implemented using a simple match-action paradigm
- **\*** Uses Fast Failover technique.





#### Pseudocode —> Match&Action tables

#### Algorithm 1 Algorithm *SmartSouth* – Template

**Input:** current node:  $v_i$ , input port: *in*, packet global params: pkt.start, packet tag array:  $\{pkt.v_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ **Output:** output port: out 1: if pkt.start = 0 then 2:  $pkt.start \leftarrow 1$ 3:  $out \leftarrow 1$ 4: **else** if  $pkt.v_i.cur = 0$  then 5:  $pkt.v_i.par \leftarrow in; out \leftarrow 1; First_visit()$ 6: else if  $in = pkt.v_i.cur$  then 7:  $out \leftarrow pkt.v_i.cur + 1; Visit_from_cur()$ 8: 9: else 10:  $out \leftarrow in; Visit\_not\_from\_cur()$ 11: **goto** 26 12:if  $out = \Delta_i + 1$  then 13: $out \leftarrow pkt.v_i.par$ **goto** 22 14: 15: while out failed or  $out = pkt.v_i.par$  do  $out \leftarrow out + 1$ 16:if  $out = \Delta_i + 1$  then 17:18: $out \leftarrow pkt.v_i.par$ 19:**goto** 22 20: Send\_next\_neighbor() 21: goto 23 22: Send\_parent() 23:  $pkt.v_i.cur \leftarrow out$ 24: if out = 0 then Finish() 25:26: return out





Pseudocode —> Match&Action tables



	Flow Table B				
Match		Instructions			
	in	$pkt.v_i.cur$	$pkt.v_i.par$		
	*	0	*	$pkt.v_i.par \leftarrow in$ , Table 1	
	1	1	*	Table 2	
	2	2	*	Table 3	
	3	3	*	Table 4	





#### 9

### How it is possible? SmartSouth template.

### Pseudocode —> Match&Action tables



### Functions in the South: Topology Snapshot



- Fault tolerant
  - No connectivity assumption
- \* Requires a single connection to controller
  - Unlike built-in "Topology service" in OpenFlow

### Functions in the South: Topology Snapshot



- Fault tolerant
  - No connectivity assumption
- Requires a single connection to controller
  - Unlike built-in "Topology service" in OpenFlow

 During the DFS traversal, topology information is written to the packet header

par, next par, next

par, next

snapshot data



- Detects connectivity loss regardless of the cause
  - physical failure
  - configuration errors

11

unsupervised carrier network errors





- Detects connectivity loss regardless of the cause
  - physical failure
  - configuration errors
  - unsupervised carrier network errors
- Two possible implementations:





- Detects connectivity loss regardless of the cause
  - physical failure
  - configuration errors
  - unsupervised carrier network errors
- Two possible implementations:
  - \* DFS traversal with TTL
    - (log n) DFS traversals (binary search)





### Smart "In-band" Counters

- General counters access only by controller
- Our counters:
  - access during packet processing
  - counter value can be written to packet or metadata
  - implemented using Round-Robin action group

Tab	ole 1
-	-
-	-
-	-

	Round Robin Group		
	Action1	Tah	
	Action2	1	- -
		2	-
	Action-k	K	





### Blackhole detection with SmartCounters

- Install SmartCounter for each port
- Only two DFS traversals required:
  - First back&forth on each link







3

Is there a blackhole?



- Install SmartCounter for each port
- Only two DFS traversals required:
  - First back&forth on each link





Second - find port with counter value 1

### Functions in the South: Critical Node Detection



- \* Checks if a node is critical for connectivity
- Non-critical node may be removed for
  - \* maintenance
  - energy conservation
- Cheaper than Snapshot

### Functions in the South: Critical Node Detection



- Checks if a node is critical for connectivity
- Non-critical node may be removed for
  - \* maintenance
  - energy conservation
- \* Cheaper than *Snapshot*

- One DFS traversal with root = v
  - If v non-critical: it is parent for exactly one node

### Functions in the South: Critical Node Detection



- Checks if a node is critical for connectivity
- Non-critical node may be removed for
  - maintenance
  - energy conservation
- \* Cheaper than Snapshot

- One DFS traversal with root = v
  - If v non-critical: it is parent for exactly one node
  - \* Else: it is parent for more than 1 node

### Functions in the South: Anycast



- Multiple, unknown destinations, NFV
- Alternative path to the control plane
- Extendable to service chains



### Functions in the South: Anycast



- Multiple, unknown destinations, NFV
- Alternative path to the control plane
- Extendable to service chains
  - \* Anycast one DFS traversal
    - If gid match: forward to "self port"

par, next

gid

payload

Else: continue DFS traversal

par, next

par, next

### Functions in the South: Anycast



- Multiple, unknown destinations, NFV
- Alternative path to the control plane
- Extendable to service chains
  - \* Anycast one DFS traversal
    - If gid match: forward to "self port"
    - Else: continue DFS traversal
  - Priocast two DFS traversals
    - First find highest priority dest
    - Second deliver to the best dest

### SmartSouth in practice

- Using existing OpenFlow match fields for tagging:
  - IPv6 addresses
  - VLAN tags
  - MPLS tags
  - Using this approach we can support up to few dozens of nodes
- More tag space in the future
  - Future OpenFlow will probably support flexible match and set
  - NoviFlow switches already support UDP payload access

### Conclusions

- \* "Dumb" data plane can implement useful and complex functionality
  - Snapshot
  - Blackhole detection
  - Critical node detection
  - Anycast
  - Smart Counters
- Nourish discussion on what should be implemented and where







### Conclusions

- \* "Dumb" data plane can implement useful and complex functionality
  - Snapshot
  - Blackhole detection
  - Critical node detection
  - Anycast
  - Smart Counters
- Nourish discussion on what should be implemented and where









### **Related Work**

- Our Opodis
- Our hotsdn
- Shapira's link reversal?
  - Explain why it cannot be implemented in OpenFlow (since it requires storing state in the switch)

### Complexity

- Number of messages used by each function
- Space required in the header (take from the HotNets paper)

Service	Complexity			
	out-band $\#msgs \times size$	$ $ in-band $\#msgs \times size$		
Snapshot	$1 \times O(1) + 1 \times O( E )$	$ (4 E  - 2n) \times O( E ) $		
Anycast	0	$ (4 E -2n) \times  data $		
Priocast	0	$ (8 E -4n) \times  data $		
Blackhole 1	$2\log E  \times O(1)$	$ (8 E -4n) \times O(1) $		
Blackhole 2	$3 \times O(1)$	$ 4 E  \times O(1)$		
Critical	$2 \times O(1)$	$ (4 E  - 2n) \times O(1) $		



Detects connectivity loss regardless of the cause



- Detects connectivity loss regardless of the cause
- Two possible implementations:
  - DFS traversal with TTL
    - (log n) DFS traversals (binary search)





- Detects connectivity loss regardless of the cause
- Two possible implementations:
  - DFS traversal with TTL
    - (log n) DFS traversals (binary search)



### Smart Counters:

- Adding "state" to the switch
- Only two DFS traversals required





Detects connectivity loss regardless of the cause



- Detects connectivity loss regardless of the cause
- Two possible implementations:





- Detects connectivity loss regardless of the cause
- Two possible implementations:
  - \* DFS traversal with TTL
    - (log n) DFS traversals (binary search)



- Based on in-band graph DFS traversal.
- Implemented using a simple match-action paradigm
- **\*** Uses Fast Failover technique.



- Based on in-band graph DFS traversal. \*
- Implemented using a simple match-action paradigm \*
- **Uses Fast Failover technique.** \*

26: return out

			Flow Table	В
Algorithm 1 Algorithm <i>SmartSouth</i> – Template		Match		Instructions
Input: aument node: a input nort, in redict riskal		$p\kappa\iota.v_i.cur$	$p\kappa\iota.v_i.par$	
<b>Input:</b> current node: $v_i$ , input port: <i>in</i> , packet global	1	0	*	$pkt.v_i.par \leftarrow in, \text{ Table 2}$
params: $pkt.start$ , packet tag array: $\{pkt.v_j\}_{j\in[n]}$	1	1	2	Table 3
Output: output port: out	2	2	3	Table 4
1: if $pkt.start = 0$ then	3	3	4	Table 5
2: $pkt.start \leftarrow 1$				
$3:  out \leftarrow 1$	$\Delta_i - 2$	$\Delta_i - 2$	$\Delta_i - 1$	Table $\Delta_i$
4: else	$\Delta_i - 1$	$\Delta_i - 1$	$\Delta_i$	Table C
5: <b>if</b> $pkt.v_i.cur = 0$ <b>then</b>	*	0	*	$pkt.v_i.par \leftarrow in$ , Table 1
6: $pkt.v_i.par \leftarrow in; out \leftarrow 1; First_visit()$			*	Table 2
7: else if $in = nkt.v_i.cur$ then	2	2	*	Table 3
8: $out \leftarrow nkt u; cur + 1: Visit from cur()$	0	0	*	Table 4
$0:  \mathbf{olso}$				
10: $out < in: Visit not from our()$				
10. $Out \leftarrow in, v isit_not_j rom_cur()$	Flow T	able A (Start	t) ]	Flow Table C (Send-Parent)
11. $goto 20$	Match	T		Matah
12: If $out = \Delta_i + 1$ then $pk$	$t.start \mid d.$	start dst Instruction		Instructions
13: $out \leftarrow pkt.v_i.par$	0 1	Gr 01	Table 1	pkt.vi.pur
14: goto 22	0	2   Gr 0.2.7	Table 1	1 Fwd 1
				2 Fwd 2
15: while out failed or $out = pkt.v_i.par$ do	0 1	i Gr 0.n.	Table 1	
16: $out \leftarrow out + 1$	* >	Table B		$\Delta_i$ Fwd $\Delta_i$
17: if $out = \Delta_i + 1$ then		Table B		
18: $out \leftarrow pkt.v_i.par$				
19: <b>goto</b> 22	Group	Actions		
	Gr 0.1	$\langle sb \leftarrow 1, F$	wd $Route(1)$	>
20: $Send\_next\_neighbor()$	Gr 0.2	$\langle sb \leftarrow 1, F \rangle$	wd Route(2)	Ś
21: goto 23		···· /		/
$22: Send_parent()$		$\langle sb \leftarrow 1, F$	wd $Route(n)$	
23: $pkt.v_i.cur \leftarrow out$		$\langle sb \leftarrow 1, p \rangle$	$kt.v_i.cur \leftarrow 1$	$(, pkt.start \leftarrow 1, Fwd 1)$
24: if $out = 0$ then	Gr 2	$\langle sb \leftarrow 1, p \rangle$	$kt.v_i.cur \leftarrow 2$	$2, pkt.start \leftarrow 1, Fwd 2$
25: Finish()			-	
26: return <i>out</i>	Gr $\Delta_i$	$\langle sb \leftarrow 1, pb \rangle$	$kt.v_i.cur \leftarrow \Delta$	$\Delta_i, pkt.start \leftarrow 1, Fwd \Delta_i \rangle$



- Based on in-band graph DFS traversal.
- Implemented using a simple match-action paradigm
- **\*** Uses Fast Failover technique.





- Based on in-band graph DFS traversal.
- Implemented using a simple match-action paradigm
- **\*** Uses Fast Failover technique.





### Data Plane - Match & Action



### Data Plane - Match & Action



### **Distributed Control Plane**



### **Distributed Control Plane**

