

Study the past if you would define the future*: Secure Multi-Party SDN Updates

Liron Schiff

Tel Aviv University, Israel

Stefan Schmid

Aalborg University, Denmark TU Berlin, Germany

*Confucius

• A distributed process

















Fast data plane processing

- Software frameworks
- Open standards



PEN







• One point of failure:





• Logically centralized, physically distributed



- The control network can be compromised
 - Advanced Persistent Threat (APT)
 - Insider
- Some controllers might be more vulnerable
 - Physical isolation
 - Logical isolation (policies)
 - Control applications
 - Admins
- We assume most controllers are secured

• A compromised control network:



• Malicious commands attack



• Solution: Sign commands with threshold cryptography

Fleet [S. Matsumoto , S. Hitz , A. Perrig 2014]



• Controller state corruption: deletion or injection of events



Theoretical Approach

• Use a distributed shared log algorithm (e.g., Paxos)

- Each entity (device / controller):
 - Suggests log entry (event / command)
 - Considers previous entries
- Controllers can support other's suggestion
- Device performs commands supported by majority

Theoretical Approach

- Cons:
 - Most distributed shared log implementations are hard to verify [D. Ongaro J. Ousterhout 2014]
 - Limited support for failures / adversaries
 - Expensive design for devices

Our Approach

- Recognize the asymmetry
 - Device failure is inherently blocking
 - Device "knows" the correct input (event history)

- Light adaptation to devices
 - Store the hash of all sent events/commands (history)
 - Accept command iff:
 - Contains correct hash
 - Signed (including the hash) by majority of controllers

Our Approach

• Other considerations:

- Prevent race conditions (events vs. commands)
 - Keep a buffer of recent hash values
 - Accept commands with hash within buffer
- Support fast initialization of new (or delayed) controllers
 - Commands includes controller state hash
 - New controller contacts "old" controller to receive state
 - Then contacts device to verify state

Similar Distributed Control Issue

- Concurrent configuration updates
- Example: load-balancing



Similar Distributed Control Issue

- Concurrent configuration updates
- Example: load-balancing



Our Approach [CCR16]

- Consider the centrality of the device
 - Device failure is inherently blocking
 - Device "knows" the current configuration

Zero

- Light adaptation to devices
 - Implement conditional updates
 - Based on OpenFlow (v1.4)
- Transactions over switch configuration space!

L. Schiff, S. Schmid, P. Kuznetsov: In-Band Synchronization for Distributed SDN Control Planes. In ACM Computer Communication Review (CCR) 46(1): 37-43 (2016).

Our Approach [CCR16]

• Conditional updates



Summary

• SDN control plane might be compromised.

• Past events must be considered and verified.

• Our device centric approach provides a lightweight solution.

• Same approach can solve concurrency issues.



